High Spin Molecules: $[Mn_{12}O_{12}(O_2CCH_2Cl)_{16}(H_2O)_4]$ and the One-electron Reduction Product $[PPh_4][Mn_{12}O_{12}(O_2CCH_2Cl)_{16}(H_2O)_3]$

Hui-Lien Tsai,* Tyn-Yih Jwo, Gene-Hsiang Lee,† and Yu Wang†

Department of Chemistry, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan 70101, R.O.C. †*Instrumentation Center, College of Science, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan 10601, R.O.C.*

(Received December 6, 1999; CL-991027)

The complex of $[Mn_{12}O_{12}(O_2CCH_2Cl)_{16}(H_2O)_4]$ was reduced by PPh₄I to give a reduced complex $[PPh₄][Mn₁₂O₁₂$ - $(O_2CCH_2Cl)_{16}(H_2O)_3$. Crystal structures of both complexes were determined by the X-ray method. The dc magnetic susceptibility measurements indicate that the neutral and reduced complexes are high spin species.

Single-molecule magnets (SMM) are attracting extensive attention because they represent nanoscale magnetic particles of a well-defined size.¹⁻⁶ They display sluggish magnetization relaxation phenomena such as magnetization hysteresis loops and frequency-dependent out-of-phase alternating current (AC) magnetic susceptibility. The remarkable magnetic properties of a SMM arise from the SMM's high-spin ground state (S) split by a large negative axial zero-field splitting (D) which results in an anisotropy energy barrier of $KV = |D|\hat{S}^2$.⁷ The first SMM reported was $[Mn_{12}O_{12}(O_2CCH_3)_{16}(H_2O)_4]$ ²HOAc·4H₂O (1) with $S = 10$ ground state and a negative zero-field splitting of -0.5 cm⁻¹.^{1,2,5} The one electron reduction complex $[PPh_4][Mn_{12}O_{12}(O_2CC_2H_5)_{16}(H_2O)_4]$ (2) was also reported to have $S = 19/2$ ground state and behave as a SMM.^{2,6} We herein describe the preparation and X-ray structures of two new SMMs, $[Mn_{12}O_{12}(O_2CCH_2Cl)_{16}(H_2O)_4]$ ³CH₂Cl₂·2H₂O (3) and its reduced $[PPh_4][Mn_{12}\bar{O}_{12}(\bar{O}_2CCH_2Cl)_{16}(H_2O)_3]$ -2CH₂Cl₂· 2H₂O (4) that differ in their space group and the positioning of their H_2O ligands on the Mn_{12} complexes.

The acetate derivative, complex **3** may be synthesized through the removal of $CH_2CO₂H$ as toluene azoetrope from the reaction of complex 1 with excess ClH₂CCOOH. The neutral complex **3** shows a chemically reversible reduction at 0.53 V vs ferrocene/ferrocenium. Reduction of 3 with PPh₄I yields the stable complex **4**. Both **3** and **4** have been recrystallized from a mixture of dichloromethane and hexane, and afforded suitable crystals for the X-ray crystal structure analysis.

X-Ray data were measured on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 and a Bruker-axs, SMART CCD diffractometer with graphite monochromatized Mo-Kα radiation for complexes **3** and **4**, respectively.⁸

The ORTEP plot of the complex **3** is shown in Figure 1(a). The structure of complex **3** is quite similar in many respects to complex **1**.⁹ Complex **3** possesses a $[Mn_{12}(\mu_3-O)_{12}]$ core comprising a central $[Mn^{IV}{}_{4}O_{4}]^{8+}$ cubane held within a nonplanar ring of eight Mn^{III} ions by eight μ_3 -O² ions. Peripheral ligation of complex **3** is proved by sixteen μ_2 -carboxylate groups and four H₂O ligands. The Mn-O bond distances make it clear that all of the atoms in the central cubane are MnIV ions, while the ring consists of eight MnIII ions. These assignments supported by the marked Jahn-Teller elongation of the axial Mn-O bonds $(2.093-2.260 \text{ Å})$, which are on average 0.255 Å longer than the analogous equatorial Mn-O bonds (1.873-2.012 Å). Complex **3** has one H₂O ligand on each of four Mn^{III} ions; Mn(4), Mn(6), Mn(4A), and Mn(6A) which are bonded to two Mn^{IV} ions via two μ_3 -O²⁻ ions.

Figure 1. (a) Molecular structure of complex 3 and (b) molecular structure of the anion of complex 4. The CIH₂Cgroups of the acetate ligands, PPh₄ ion, the H₂O and CH₂Cl₂ solvate molecules are omitted for clarity.

An ORTEP plot of the anion of **4** is shown in Figure 1(b). The structure shows that the single added electron produces a valence trapped $Mn^{II}Mn^{III}{}_{7}Mn^{IV}{}_{4}$ anion rather than a $Mn^{III}_{9}Mn^{IV}_{3}$ ion. Compared to complex 2,² complex 4 has one interesting feature. Unlike complex **2**, complex **4** has only three bound $H₂O$ ligands, $Mn(10)$ being five coordinate with one H₂O ligand. The other two H₂O ligands are bonded to $Mn(6)$ and $Mn(8)$, which is bonded to two Mn^{IV} ions via two μ_3 -O²- ions. For Mn(10) atom, the equatorial Mn-O bond lengths show a pronounced elongation (2.070-2.188 Å) relative

Chemistry Letters 2000 347

to the corresponding bond distances (1.860-1.989 Å) for the Mn^{III} ions. Clearly, the Mn(10) atom in complex 4 is a Mn^{II} ion, and it does not exhibit a Jahn-Teller distortion as seen for all the Mn^{III} ions in the complex 3 .

Variable-temperature dc magnetic susceptibility data were collected for polycrystalline samples of complexes **3** and **4** in an applied filed of 1.0 kG, and in the temperature range 2.00– 310.0 K, which were measured by a SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design, MPMS-7).¹⁰ The samples were embedded in eicosane wax to prevent any torquing of the polycrystalline in the magnetic field. Pascal's constants¹¹ were used to estimate the diamagnetic corrections and to give the values of -1.06×10^{-3} and -1.21×10^{-3} emu/mol for complexes 3 and 4, respectively. As can be seen in Figure 2, for complex $3 \mu_{eff}$ per molecule slowly decreases from 12.96 μ_B at 310.2 K to 12.20 μ_B at 170.2 K, and then increases, reaching a maximum of 18.43 μ_B at 14.0 K, finally decreases to 8.19 μ_B at 2.00 K. The data for the one-electron reduced complex **4** show similar temperature dependence; μ_{eff} per molecule slowly decreases from 12.39 μ_B at 310.8 K to 11.55 μ_B at 170.0 K, and then increases, reaching a maximum of 20.32 μ_B at 11.99 K, finally decreases to 7.56 μ_B at 2.00 K. This behavior is similar to that observed for complex 1; which has a μ_{eff} values of 12.17 μ_B at 300.0 K, with decreasing temperature increases to a maximum of 20.79 μ_B at 15.0 K, whereupon there is a decrease to 15.79 μ_B at 5.00 K.²

Figure 2. Plots of μ_{eff} versus temperature for polycrystalline samples of complex 3 (\bigcirc) and complex 4 (\bigcirc).

If there were no magnetic exchange interaction present in a $Mn^{III}_{8}Mn^{IV}_{4}$ and $Mn^{II}Mn^{III}_{7}Mn^{IV}_{4}$ complexes, then the spinonly effective magnetic moment with $g = 2.0$ should be $\mu_{eff} =$ 15.87 μ_B and μ_{eff} = 16.22 μ_B for complexes **3** and **4**, respectively. It is clear from the values of μ_{eff} per molecule for complexes **3** and **4** at 310.0 K that there are in both complexes appreciable exchange interactions present. The exchange interactions in the $Mn^{II}Mn^{III}$ ₇Mn^{IV}₄ complex 4 are greater than those in complex **3**, for the μ_{eff} per molecule for complex **4** at 310.0 K is much lower than its expected spin–only value compared to the $Mn^{III}_{8}Mn^{IV}_{4}$ complex 3. The increase in μ_{eff} with decreasing temperature to a maximum at low temperatures is expected the fact that as the temperature is decreased only one high-spin state is thermally populated. This $Mn^{III} Mn^{IV}$ ₄ complex 1 has been established to have an S = 10 ground state. Complexes **3** and **4** must have a ground state with a relatively large spin.

Reduced magnetizations and AC magnetic measurements are in progress to determine which total spin complexes **3** and **4** differ in their ground state. From primary results, they show frequency dependence in the out-of-phase AC magnetic susceptibilities and prove to be SMMs.¹² Furthermore, Mn_{12} SMMs also exhibit steps on hysteresis loops, which are characteristic behaviors of magnetic quantum tunneling.^{1,13} The hysteresis loops measurements on complexes **3** and **4** will give insight into the mechanism of magnetization tunneling.

We thank the National Science Council of the Republic of China (NSC-89-2113-M-006-012) for support.

References and Notes

- 1 R. Sessoli, D. Gatteschi, A. Caneschi, and M. A. Novak, *Nature*, **365**, 141 (1993); L. Thomas, F. Lionti, R. Ballou, D Gatteschi, R. Sessoli, and B. Barbara, *Nature*, **383**, 145 (1996).
- 2 R. Sessoli, H.-L. Tsai, A. R. Schake, S. Wang, J. B. Vincent, K. Folting, D. Gatteschi, G. Christou, and D. N. Hendrickson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **115**, 1804 (1993); A. R. Schake, H.-L. Tsai, R. J. Webb, K. Folting, G. Christou, and D. N. Hendrickson, *Inorg. Chem.*, **33**, 6020 (1994); H. J. Eppley, H.-L. Tsai, N. de Vries, K. Folting, G. Christou, and D. N. Hendrickson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **117**, 301 (1995).
- 3 S. M. J. Aubin, N. R. Dilley, M. Wemple, M. B. Maple, G. Christou, and D. N. Hendrickson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., **120**, 839 (1998).
- 4 G. Aromi, S. M. J. Aubin, M. A. Bolcar, G. Christou, H. J. Eppley, K. Folting, D. N. Hendrickson, J. C. Huffman, R. C. Squire, H.-L. Tsai, S. Wang, and M. Wemple, *Polyhedron*, **17**, 3005 (1998).
- 5 S. M. J . Aubin, Z. Sun, I. A. Guzei, A. L. Rheingold, G. Christou, and D. N. Hendrickson, *Chem. Commun*., **1997**, 2339; D. Ruiz, Z. Sun, B. Albela, K. Folting, J. Ribas, G. Christou, and D. N. Hendrickson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl*., **37**, 300 (1998); Z. Sun, D. Ruiz, E. Rumberger, C. D. Incarvito, K. Folting, A. L. Rheingold, G. Christou, and D. N. Hendrickson, *Inorg. Chem.*, **37**, 4758 (1998); Z. Sun, D. Ruiz, N. R. Dilley, M. Soler, J. Ribas, K. Folting, M. B. Maple, G. Christou, and D. N. Hendrickson, *Chem. Commun*., **1999**, 1973.
- 6 S. M. J. Aubin, S. Spagna, H. J. Eppley, R. E. Sager, G. Christou, and D. N. Hendrickson, *Chem. Commun.*, **1998**, 803; S. M. J. Aubin, Z. Sun, L. Pardi, J. Krzystek, K. Folting, L.-C. Brunel, A. L. Rheingold, G. Christou, and D. N. Hendrickson *Inorg. Chem.*, **38**, 5329 (1999).
- 7 D. Gatteschi, A. Caneschi, L. Pardi, and R. Sessoli, *Science*, **265**, 1054 (1994); J. Villain, F. Hartman-Boutron, R. Sessoli, and A. Rettori, *Europhys. Lett.*, **27**, 159 (1994).
- 8 Crystal data of complex $3.3CH_2Cl_2.2H_2O$: $C_{35}H_{50}Cl_{22}Mn_{12}O_{50}$, *FW* = 2709.93, monoclinic, space group *C2/c*, *a* = 25.238(2), *b* = 13.226(2), $c = 26.199(5)$ Å, $\beta = 94.761(13)$ °, $V = 8715(2)$ Å³, $Z = 4$, $D_{\text{cal}} = 2.065 \text{ g/cm}^3$, $R(R_w) = 0.0910(0.2776)$ (7645 unique reflections with I> $2\sigma(I)$). Crystal data of complex $4.2CH_2Cl_2·2H_2O$: $C_{58}H_{66}Cl_{20}Mn_{12}O_{49}P$, $FW = 2946.36$, orthorhombic, space group *Fdd2*, $a = 51.3413(7)$, $b = 61.6796(7)$, $c = 12.8787(1)$ Å, $V =$ 40783.1(8) Å³, $Z = 16$, $D_{cal} = 1.919$ g/cm³, $R(R_w) = 0.0490$ (0.1204) (22937 unique reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$).
- 9 T. Lis, *Acta Cryst.*, **B36***,* 2042 (1980).
- Satisfactory elemental analysis data (C, H, Mn) were obtained. Anal. **3**·7H₂O; Found: C, 15.58; H, 2.08; Mn, 25.24%. Calcd. for C32H54Cl16Mn12O55: C, 15.21; H, 2.14; Mn, 25.90%. Anal. **4**·3H2O; Found: C, 24.11; H, 2.32; Mn, 23.10%. Calcd. for $C_{56}H_{64}Cl_{16}Mn_{12}O_{50}P$: C, 24.07; H, 2.31; Mn, 23.59%.
- 11 "Theory and Application of Molecular Paramagnetism," ed. by E. A. Boudreaux, and L. N. Mulay, J. Wiley & Sons, New York (1976).
- 12 H.-L. Tsai, T.-Y. Jwo, C.-S. Wur, G.-H. Lee, and Y. Wang, unpublished results.
- 13 J. R. Friedman, M. P. Sarachik, J. Tejada, J. Maciejewski, and R. Ziolo, *J. Appl. Phys.*, **79**, 6031 (1996); J. R. Friedman, M. P. Sarachik, J. Tejada, and R. Ziolo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **76**, 3830 (1996).